

Criterion 1 – Curricular Aspects

Key Indicator	1.4	Curriculum Enrichment
Metric	1.4.2	Feedback processes of the institution may be classified as
		follows:
		A. Feedback collected, analysed and action taken and
		feedback available on website
		B. Feedback collected, analysed and action has been
		taken
		C. Feedback collected and analysed
		D. Feedback collected
		E. Feedback not collected

Feedback on Curricular Aspects Feedback Analysis Report Academic Year 2017-18

INDEX

S.No	Department	Page No.
Ι	Faculty of Architecture and Planning	
1	1. Department of Architecture	2
II	Faculty of Engineering and Technology	
2	1. Department of Aerospace Engineering	4
3	2. Department of Biotechnology	6
4	3. Department of Civil Engineering	8
5	4. Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering	10
6	5. Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering	14
7	6. Department of Mechanical Engineering	16
III	Faculty of Computing Sciences and Engineering	
8	1. Department of Computer Science And Engineering	18
9	2. Department of Computer Science And Application	20
10	3. Department of Software Engineering	24
IV	Faculty of Humanities, Science and Management	
11	1. Department of Chemistry	26
12	2. Department of Commerce	28
13	3. Department of English	-
14	4. Department of Education	32
15	5. Department of Mathematics	-
16	6. Department of Management Studies	34
17	7. Department of Physics	37
18	8. Department of Political Science	40
19	9. Department of Social Work	38

Department of Architecture

Programme : B.Arch. - Architecture

No. of Students	No. of Teachers	No. of Employer	No. of Alumni
feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected
100	7	5	8

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	4.0
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.3
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.8
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.5
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.5
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.8
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.8
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.8
	Average score of all criteria	3.8
	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
The co	ourses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.3
Gener	al Comments and Suggestions	

Nil

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4.0
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.8
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.8
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.8
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	4.0 .
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.8
	Average score of all criteria	3.8
	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil		
Gener: Nil	al Comments and Suggestions	

Head of the Department, Faculty of Architecture & Planning, Periyar Maniaminai Institute of Science & Technology, (PMIST), Periyar Nagar, Vallam, Thanjayur-613 403, Tamilnadu.

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.6
2.		
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.8
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	4
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.8
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.4
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	4
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	4
a la	Average score of all criteria	3.8
Crite	ria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Relati	onship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.4
Gener Nil	ral Comments and Suggestions	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria .	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.7
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.7
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.4
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.7
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.6
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.4
7.	Canteen facilities	3.6
8.	Hostel facilities	3.1
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.6
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.7
	Average score of all criteria	3.6
Crite	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Infrast	tructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.4
Traini	Training & Placement is adequate.	
Hostel Facilities		3.1
Gener Nil	ral Comments and Suggestions	HEREFRI

Herright Department, Faculty of Alementechure & Planning, Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science & Technology, (PMIST), Periyar Nagar, Vallam, Thanjavur-613 403, Tamilnadu,

Department of Aerospace Engineering

Programme : B.Tech. Aerospace Engineering

No. of Students	No. of Teachers	No. of Employer	No. of Alumni
feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected
30	5	5	12

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	4
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.82
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.6
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.5
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.71
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.8
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.61
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.79
	3.72	
Crite	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

Nil

General Comments and Suggestions

Need more research facilities.

This programme is highly helpful for our future

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.9
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	4
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	4 .
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	4
	Average score of all criteria	3.98
Criter	ria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil		
More	ral Comments and Suggestions courses related to Aerospace Engineering can be added in main curric fessional elective.	ulum rather than

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	4
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.9
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.8
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.78
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.51
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.9
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.71
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	3.9
Page 1	Average score of all criteria	3.81

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Add more research components in the curriculum	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.7
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.61
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.72
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.6
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.68
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.5
7.	Canteen facilities	3.6
8.	Hostel facilities	3.54
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.5
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.7
	Average score of all criteria	3.61

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	

The curriculum and syllabus was designed as per industry needs

R. K. MUTHUNA New Department of Aerospace Engineering yer Heniammai lastitute of Science & Technology Reciver Napar Vallam, Thansawr - 613 403

m

Department of Biotechnology

Programme : B. Tech. Biotechnology

No. of Students feedback collected	interest interest into, or Employer		No. of Alumni feedback collected
16	5	3	4

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.5
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	4
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	4
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.6
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.7
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	4
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.7
	Average score of all criteria	3.68
	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Teachi	ng learning process helped me to learn easily	3
Gener Video	al Comments and Suggestions lectures and live demo can be used to illustrate the subject to make the	a lanmina

video lectures and live demo can be used to illustrate the subject to make the learning process easier.

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.7
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.7
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	4
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	4
	Average score of all criteria	3.9
1	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil		
Gener	al Comments and Suggestions that reflect the recent trends in the domain may be included	and the

schoolog

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.7
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.7
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.7
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.65
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	4
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	3.66
	Average score of all criteria	3.68

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3
General Comments and Suggestions Practical knowledge of the subject can be improved by encouraging indu	strial training

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.6
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	4
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.7
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	4
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	4
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3
7.	Canteen facilities	3.6
8.	Hostel facilities	3.8
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.5 .
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.7
	Average score of all criteria	3.69

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Training & Placement is adequate.	3
General Comments and Suggestions Students can be encouraged to undergo implant training in relevar	nt industry to update their

knowledge in the subjects prescribed in the syllabus.

chinology te of Science and Techn ST (Deemed to be University) anjavur - 613403, Tamii Nadu

Department of Civil Engineering

Programme : B. Tech. Civil Engineering

No. of Students	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employer	No. of Alumni
feedback collected		feedback collected	feedback collected
45	20	2	10

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.9
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.86
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.65
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.9
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.75
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.8
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.75
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.8
	Average score of all criteria	3.8
Criter	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	
More interaction sessions has to be included	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	4
The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.9
Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.8
Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.9
Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	4
Average score of all criteria	3.93
ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
al Comments and Suggestions topics has to be included in the syllabus	
	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme. The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus. Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus. Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate. Average score of all criteria ia where Scope For Improvements identified

PROFESSOR & HEAD, Department of Civil Engineering Periyar Manlammai Institute of Science & Technology, Periyar Nager, Vallam, Thanjavur-613 403.

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.83
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.73
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.54
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.6
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	4
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.6
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.6
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	4 ·
	Average score of all criteria	3.74

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	

Show more attention towards project and lab components

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	4
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.8
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.9
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.9
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.8
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	4
7.	Canteen facilities	3.5
8.	Hostel facilities	3.8
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.9
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	4
	Average score of all criteria	3.86

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	

R. Angomite.

PROFESSION & MEAD, Department of Civil Engineering Putper Maximum Institute of Science & Technology, Periyar Nagar, Valtam, Thanjanat-613 403.

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering

Programme : B. Tech. ECE

No. of Students	No. of Teachers	No. of Employer	No. of Alumni
feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected
20	5	-	15

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.6
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.6
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.5
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.5
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.5
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.6
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.5
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.5
	Average score of all criteria	3.5

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions	

Programming with C and C++and Computer Hardware Servicing and Networking Papers are to be offered.

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	3.6
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.6
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.5
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.5
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.6
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.5
	Average score of all criteria	3.5
Criter	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score

 Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified
 Average Sco (out of 4)

 Nil
 General Comments and Suggestions

Topics on IIR and FIR should be rearranged in Digital Signal Processing

n. une 7

Head of the Depertment Decartment of Electronics and Communication Engineering Penyar Meniammal Institute of Science and Technology Veiliam, Thacjavur - 613 403.

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.6
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.5
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.6
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.5
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.5
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.5
7.	Canteen facilities	3.5
8.	Hostel facilities	3.6
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.6
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.5
1	Average score of all criteria	3.53

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

v. invergie

Vellam, Thanjavur - 613 403.

Head of the Department Decartment of Electronics and Communication Engineering Periyar tweniammal institute of Science and Tachnology Vewem, Thanjavur - 613 403.

Programme : M. Tech. Nanotechnology (Integrated)

No. of Students	No. of Teachers	No. of Employer	No. of Alumni
feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected
20	5	1	7

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.72
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.65
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.52
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.25
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.74
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.72
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.6
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	. 3.2
	Average score of all criteria	3.66

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.25
Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.2
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	(Phile !!

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. N	No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.		The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2		The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.78
3		The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.72
4		Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	4
5	•	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.63
6		Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.42
0		Average score of all criteria	3.67
Cr	ite	ria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
La	b/S	tudio and other facilities are adequate.	3.42
Ge	ener b fi	ral Comments and Suggestions accilities are not sufficient enough	

V. uwengen

Head of the Department ent of Electronics and Communication Engineerin Maniammal Institute of Science and Technology Vallem, Thanjevur - 613 403.

Employe	ers Feedback	on curricu	lar aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.6
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.5
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.3
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.52
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.75
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.5
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.42
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	3.6
	Average score of all criteria	3.63
Criter	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
	b/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire ate practical knowledge	3.3
Open	to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.42
Gener Nil	ral Comments and Suggestions	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.6
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.52
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.1
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.62
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3:4
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.5
7.	Canteen facilities	3.6
8.	Hostel facilities	3.7
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.5
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.8
	Average score of all criteria	3.63
Crite	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Infrast	ructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.1
Qualit	y of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.4
Gener Nil	ral Comments and Suggestions	

Vunnight

Head of the Department Department of Eléctronics and Communication Engineering Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science and Technology Valierm, Thangavur - 613 403.

Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering

Programme :B. Tech. EEE

No. of Students	No. of Teachers	No. of Employer	No. of Alumni
feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected
25	6	3	12

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.82
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.56
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.81
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.54
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.52
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.65
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.8
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.81
	Average score of all criteria	3.81
Criter	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil		

General Comments and Suggestions Courses that lead to both employability and entrepreneurship can be distributed in higher semesters.

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	4
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	4
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.8
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	4
	Average score of all criteria	3.96
11.	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	Chen Speciard Scherostern	
Gener Topics	ral Comments and Suggestions s that reflect the recent trends in the Domain may be included	٨
	Dept. of I Periyar Maniarın	d of the Departm Electrical & Electronics nai Institute of Science am, Thanjavur - 613 40

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.52
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.54
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.5
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.78
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.9
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.78
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.6
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	4
HISH	Average score of all criteria	3.70
Criter	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	and the second	

General Comments and Suggestions New Courses that reflect the current employable requirements may be included.

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	4
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	4
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.9
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	4
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	4
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.8
7.	Canteen facilities	3.56
8.	Hostel facilities	3.6
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.8
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	4
	Average score of all criteria	3.86
Crite	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil		
	ral Comments and Suggestions ed Core Subjects and Core Electives have enhanced performance.	1
	ed Core Subjects and Core Electives have enhanced performance.	d of the Depar Electrical & Electronal Instituta of Scie am, Thanjavur - 61

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Programme : B. Tech. Mechanical Engineering

No. of Students	No. of Teachers	No. of Employer	No. of Alumni
feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected
36	12	1	156

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.51
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.18
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.61
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.24
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.54
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.9
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.15
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.9
	Average score of all criteria	3.50
1	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
The co	ourses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.18
Same in the second	ne allotted for the courses matched my page of learning	3.24

The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. 3.24 Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc. 3.15 **General Comments and Suggestions** Electives can be separated based on industrial importance.

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.6
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.7
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.9
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.6
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.5
	Average score of all criteria	3.71
	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil		
Gener Nil	al Comments and Suggestions	255

Department of Mechanical Engg

70

Employers	Feedback of	u curricular	aspects
-----------	-------------	--------------	---------

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.4
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.5
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.6
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.3
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.2
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.6
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.4
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	4
	Average score of all criteria	3.5
Criter	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Self-m	otivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.2
		1 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A

General Comments and Suggestions

Some more lab experiments can be added in theory cum lab courses.

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.4
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.4
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.2
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.6
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.16
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.8
7.	Canteen facilities	3.6
8.	Hostel facilities	3.7
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.5
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.9
	Average score of all criteria	3.52
Criter	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Infrast	ructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.2

	(out of 4)
Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.2
Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.16
General Comments and Suggestions	S. Allegantering

Stream wise professional electives can be given since students area of interest may be vary.

Dr. D. JEYASIMMAN, ME., PhD, Associate Professor & Head, Department of Mechanical Eng. Ferivar Maniammai Institute of Science & Technology, --JAVUR - 613-3

00

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Programme : B. Tech. Computer Science and Engineering

No. of Students	No. of Teachers	No. of Employers	No. of Alumni
feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected
35	6		15

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	4
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.94
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	4
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	4
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	4
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	4
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.88
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	4
	Average score of all criteria	3.97
Criter	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)

Nil General Comments and Suggestions Software Engineering shall be given as a Professional Core Course

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
adequate for this programme.	4
The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	4
The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.6
Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.6
Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.83
Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.5
Average score of all criteria	3.75
a where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
	<u>,,</u>
I Comments and Suggestions	a
	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan. The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus. Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus. Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate. Average score of all criteria a where Scope For Improvements identified

eriyar Maniammai Institute of Science and Technology, Periyar Nagar, Vallam - 613 403 Thanjavur, Tamit Nadu, India.

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	4
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	4
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	4
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	4
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.93
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	4
7.	Canteen facilities	3.8
8.	Hostel facilities	3.86
9.	Overall Rating of the University	4
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.93
	Average score of all criteria	3.95

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Analog Electronic course and Digital Electronics shall be combin	ed as a single subject.

Head of The Department, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science and Technology. Periyar Nagar. Vallam - 613403, Thanjavur. Tamil Nadu. India.

rumminadu. India.

Department of Computer Science and Application

Programme : BCA

No. of Students	No. of Teachers	No. of Employer	No. of Alumni
feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected
10	3	2	2

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.6
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.6
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.6
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.6
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.6
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.6
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.3
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.6
1.01.2	Average score of all criteria	3.6
Crite	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Depth	of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.3
Gener	al Comments and Suggestions	Sugar Ch

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	3.6
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.6
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.6
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.3
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.6
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.6
	Average score of all criteria	3.5
Criter	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Studen	ts are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.3
Gener Nil	al Comments and Suggestions	47 - 1 10 - 434-
		Vevarimen - Ormover Iyar Maniammer Institu Periyar Nagar, Valla Tamil N

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.6
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.6
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.6
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.6
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.6
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.6
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.6
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	3.6
	Average score of all criteria	3.6

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.6
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.6
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.6
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.6
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.6
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.3
7.	Canteen facilities	3.6
8.	Hostel facilities	3.6
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.6
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.6
	Average score of all criteria	3.5

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Training & Placement is adequate	3.3

Head of the Department, Department of Computer Science and Applications Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science and Technology Periyar Nagar, Vallam-613 403, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu, India,

Programme : MCA

No. of Students	No. of Teachers	No. of Employer	No. of Alumni
feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected
10	3	4	2

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.6
The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.6
Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.6
The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.6
I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.6
Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.6
Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.3
Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.6
Average score of all criteria	3.5
	The courses helped me to understand the programme well The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line. Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc. Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.3
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	3.6
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.6
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.6
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.6
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.6
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.3
	Average score of all criteria	3.5

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.3
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

Head of the Department, Department of Computer Science and Apple Periyar Manammai Institute of Science and Tec Periyar Nagar, Vallam 613 403, Thanjavu Tamil Nagu, India.

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.6
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.6
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.6
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.6
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.6
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.6
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.6
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	3.6
Syles.	Average score of all criteria	3.6

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.6
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.6
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.6
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.6
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.6
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3.3
7.	Canteen facilities	3.6
8.	Hostel facilities	3.6
9.	Overall Rating of the University	4
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.6
	Average score of all criteria	3.6

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Sco (out of 4)	
Training & Placement is adequate.	3.3	

Hear of the Department. Department of Computer Science and Applications Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science and Technolo Periyar Nagar, Vallam-613 403. Thanjavur. Tamit Nadu. India.

Department of Software Engineering

Programme : M. Sc. Software Engineering

No. of Students	No. of Teachers	No. of Employer	No. of Alumni
feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected
20	10	2	20

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.5
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.5
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.75
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.5
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.75
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.5
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.5
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.5
	Average score of all criteria	3.5
Criter Nil	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)

General Comments and Suggestions

Mobile Application Development Syllabus can be revised.

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.5
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.5
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.5
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.75
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	4 .
	Average score of all criteria	3.7
Criter Nil	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Gener	al Comments and Suggestions ata Analytics using R can be included.	
	Depa	EAD OF THE Date artment of Softward eriyar Maniamman Science & Tech

24

S. No	Criteria	Average Scor (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.5
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.5
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness creativity	4
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	4
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.5
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.5
8.	Rate your overall astic fact in the provides	3.5
0.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	3.5
	Average score of all criteria	3.7
_	a where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	a where Scope For Improvements identified	

General Comments and Suggestions Python Programming course can be included.

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.75
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	2.5
3.	initrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the sullabus	3.5
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.5
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.5
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	2.5
7.	Canteen facilities	3.5
8.	Hostel facilities	3.5
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.5
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.75
10.		3.5
	Average score of all criteria	3.58

Nil	Average Score (out of 4)
General Comments and Suggestions Python Programming course can be included.	

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT Department of Software Engineering Periyar Maniammai Institute or Science & Technology Vallam, Thanjavur-613.403

Department of Chemistry

Programme	: B.Sc. Chemistry						
No. of Student feedbacks collected 5	No. of Teacher feedbacks collected	No. feedba	of A acks collect	lumni ted	reed	of Employer lbacks	
	7	0			colle	ected	
Туре					0		
Criteria	: Feedbac	k by S	tudonte				
1 (7)					-		
1. The courses helped m 2. The courses learnt thi	e to understand the					Average	
2. The courses learnt this	S vear has	gramme	well			Score 3.3	
2. The courses learnt this 3. Teaching learning pro 4. The time allotted for the	cess helped me to l	h the p	revious yea	r			
					-	3.4	
5. I have acquired skills f 6. Course plan of the sub	rom the courses learnt d	uring th	learning.			3.3	
1. Denth of the	tand the classes held	ana tu 1				3.8	
7. Depth of the course con 8. Whether the teacher lecture? Note:5 -Excellent 4 - Vec	ntent & project work/pra	ate in f	ine.			3.2	
lecture?	gets feedback before	the cor	nmencemer	at of i	1	3.5	
Note:5 -Excellent, 4 - Ver	V Good 3 Carlos		encenter	n of t	he 3	3.4	
Note:5 -Excellent, 4 – Ver	5 - 000d, 5 - 000d, 2- Fa	air, 1- A	verage and	0 -Poc	or		
out of 5	where Scope of Improv	emont					
NET		yment:		Criter	ria So	core out of 5	
eneral Commentsand Sug	gestions			3.714			
llabus of the course is easy	to la			1411			
vilabus of the course is easy	to learn and useful for c	ompeti	tive examin	ations			
Гуре	: Feedback by						
riteria							
The syllabus of the			Average	1			
The syllabus of the course ar is adequate for this progr The students are able to f urse plan.	s taught during this aca amme.	demic	Score 4				
arse plan. The lab/studio/project com gramme	ponents are adaquate of	er the	3.2				
he syllabus	learn more tonics than		4.5				
igh for the sullat	and facilities are adea	uate .	3.2			- mi	
ab/Studio and other facilitie	aucy	uale 3	3.4		A	POUL	
	s are adequate	2	.5		2		
s outer facilitie	June.	>		IS G			

Note:5 - Excellent, 4 - Very Good, 3 - Good, 2- Fair, 1- Average and 0 - Poor

Criteria Score out of		
1		

Dr.S. GOMATHI, M. Accorded that Human Duparmient of Chemistry Periyar Managimal Institute of Science and Technology (PMIST) (Deemed to be University) Periyar Nagar, Vallam THAN JAVUR - 613 403, TAMILINADU

Department of Commerce

Nil

Programme : B. Com.

No. of Students	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employer	No. of Alumni
feedback collected		feedback collected	feedback collected
8	4	2	

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the second	3.9
3.	reaching rearing process helped me to learn agaily	3.7
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.9
5.	I have acquired deille free d	3.6
	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.7
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.9
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of	3.4
	the lecture?	3.7
	Average score of all criteria	3.72
Criteri	a where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score
Depth (of the course content & main to 1/	(out of 4)
pui (of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.4
Genera	I Comments and Suggestions	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	(out of 4) 3.2
2.	The students are able to follow the	
3.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.5
	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.8
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	4
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.5
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.8
	Average score of all criteria	
Criteri		3.63
	a where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
ters essent	labus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate programme.	3.2
G <mark>enera</mark> Nil	Comments and Suggestions	and the second

28

DI.N.JAYANTHI M Com, MPhil, MBA. PGDCA. Ph.D.

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.7
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	4 .
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.7
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	4
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.3
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	4
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.7
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	4
	Average score of all criteria	3.8

Average Score (out of 4)	
3.3	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.7
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	4
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	4
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.7
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3.7
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	3
7.	Canteen facilities	4 .
8.	Hostel facilities	4
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.7
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	4
	Average score of all criteria	3.74

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Training & Placement is adequate.	3
General Comments and Suggestions Additional course like Tally, SPSS can be introduced to the bettermen	t of students' carrier.

Dr. N. UAYANTHI M. Com., M. Phil, MBA., PGDCA., Ph.D., Assi, Professor & HOD - Commerce, Manammai Institute of Sparses and Technology,

Programme : B. Com. Hons.

No. of Students	No. of Teachers	No. of Employer feedback collected	No. of Alumni
feedback collected	feedback collected		feedback collected
10	5	2	4

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	4
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.6
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.7
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning.	3.9
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.8
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.6
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.7
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.2
	Average score of all criteria	3.68
	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Wheth lecture	er the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the	3.2
Gener Nil	al Comments and Suggestions	Acaptoria

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	3.6
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	4
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.8
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.8
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	4
6.46	Average score of all criteria	3.86
Criter	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	al Case and the second s	(0000000)
Gener A valu of stud	al Comments and Suggestions e added course can be introduced in the forthcoming academic year for ents	or the betterment

re

D

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.7
2.	 The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready 	
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.7
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	4
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.3
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	4
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.7
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	4
1	Average score of all criteria	3.8
Criter	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Self-m	notivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.3
Gener Nil	al Comments and Suggestions	ai .

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	3.8
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	3.8
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	4
4.	Faculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	3.5
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	2.8
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	4
7.	Canteen facilities	3.8
8.	Hostel facilities	4
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.8
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.8
	Average score of all criteria	3.73
	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Qualit	y of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	2.8
Gener Nil	al Comments and Suggestions	

0 Science and Technology. we h QO+ Protessor 6 ned to be University) ASSL . 643 #03 nai ma'

Department of Education

Programme : B.Sc. B.Ed.

No. of Students	No. of Teachers	No. of Employer	No. of Alumni
feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected
39	8	2	

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	4
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	4
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	4
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning	4
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	4
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.9
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc	3.2
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	4
	Average score of all criteria	3.86
	a where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Depth	of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3
Genera	al Comments and Suggestions	

We want more library hours to refer the text and reference books.

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	4
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	4
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.9
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	2.9
	Average score of all criteria	3.8
	a where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
	idio and other facilities are adequate.	2.9
Gener: Recom	al Comments and Suggestions mended to arrange more skill developmental programs	1

Head, Department of Education, Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science & Technology, Vallam, Thanjavur - 613 403.

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	4
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	4
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	4
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	4
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	2.2
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	4
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	3.8
	Average score of all criteria	3.75
1.00	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Relatio	onship with seniors/peers/subordinates	2.2
Gener Nil	al Comments and Suggestions	

Head, Department of Education, Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science & Technology, Vallam, Thanjavur - 613 403.

Department of Management Studies

Programme : BBA

No. of Students	No. of Teachers	No. of Employer	No. of Alumni
feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected
19	9		

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Scor (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.82
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.75
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.84
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning	3.75
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.85
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.75
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.80
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.85
	Average score of all criteria	3.70
	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil		
Gener: Some p	al Comments and Suggestions bart of courses can be taught in Tamil	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	4
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	4 .
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	4
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.85
	Average score of all criteria	3.97
Criteri	a where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Basic C	al Comments and Suggestions	

7

lujo

DR. SATHYAPRIYA J Associate Professor & HOD Management Studies

Programme : MBA

No. of Students	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employer	No. of Alumni
feedback collected		feedback collected	feedback collected
41	6	1	12

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

ourses helped me to understand the programme well ourses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year ing learning process helped me to learn easily me allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. e acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. e plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	(out of 4) 3.82 3.75 3.84 3.75 3.85 3.75 3.75
ourses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year ning learning process helped me to learn easily me allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. e plan of the subject and the classes held are in line	3.75 3.84 3.75 3.85
ming learning process helped me to learn easily me allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. e acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. e plan of the subject and the classes held are in line	3.84 3.75 3.85
me allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning. e acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. e plan of the subject and the classes held are in line	3.75 3.85
e acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year. e plan of the subject and the classes held are in line	3.85
e plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	
of the course of the line in the	5.15
of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	3.85
her the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of cture?	3.85
Average score of all criteria	3.81
re Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
	Average score of all criteria

Industrial visits helps to choose what we really need and want

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan.	
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	4
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	4
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	4
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.80
_	Average score of all criteria	3.97
Criter	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Gener: Busine	al Comments and Suggestions ss Research Lab can be established	
	2	Satupity
	ום	R. SATHYAPI Associate Professor & Management Steri

S. No	Criteria	Average Score
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	(out of 4)
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	3.70
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	3.75
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.18
6.	Palationship with the state of the sponsibility	3.75
7.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	3.60
	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.84
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	3.85
	Average score of all criteria	3.68

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Ability to manage/leadership qualities / Innovativeness, creativity	3.18
General Comments and Suggestions Leadership skills can be practiced in the campus itself.	

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	(out of 4)
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contained	4
3.	infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the set it is	3.85
5.	recurry are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses the start	4
	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	4
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	2.5
7.	Canteen facilities	3.5
8.	Hostel facilities	3.5
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3.8
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.9
		4
	Average score of all criteria	3.86

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified Nil	Average Score (out of 4)
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

7

DR. SATHYAPRIYA J Associate Professor & HOD Management Studies

Department of Physics

Programme : B. Sc. Physics

No. of Students	No. of Teachers	No. of Employer	No. of Alumni
feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected	feedback collected
14	3		

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Scor (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	4
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.87
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	4
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning	3.87
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	3.87
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	4
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of	3.95
	the lecture?	3.95
	Average score of all criteria	3.94
Criteri	a where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)

э	1	1		
	3	8.1	811	11.6

General Comments and Suggestions More lab equipment can be made available.

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	(out of 4) 4
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan	244
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.66
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	3.66
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	4
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.66
	Average score of all criteria	3.00

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score
Nil	(out of 4)
General Comments and Suggestions	

- 10 4Ús. To-

vanced topics to be added and lab experiments to be updated

Department of Physics, Penyar Maniammai

Department of Political Science

Programme : M.A. Political Science

No. of Students feedback collected	No. of Teachers feedback collected	No. of Employer feedback collected	No. of Alumni feedback collected	
45	5	2		
		4	-	

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	4
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.9
3.	Teaching learning process helped me to learn easily	3.9
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning	4
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.8
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	4
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc	3.8
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.8
	Average score of all criteria	3.9

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Nil	(out of 4)
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	3.9
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	3.9
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	4
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	3.9
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.9
-	Average score of all criteria	3.93
Criter Nil	ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
INII		
Gener: Nil	al Comments and Suggestions	

Dr. K. SELVAKUMAR Asst. Prof / HOD Department of Political Science Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science & Technology Vallam, Thanjavur-613 403.

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.8
2.	The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	4
4.	Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	2.0
5.	Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.9
6.	Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	
7.	Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.8
8.	Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	4 3.8
	Average score of all criteria	3.91

Criteria where Scope For Improvements identified Nil	Average Score (out of 4)
General Comments and Suggestions Nil	

(Solvahray

Dr. K.SELVAKUMAR, Asst. Prof / HOD Department of Political Science Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science & Technology Vailam, Thanjavar 613 403.

Department of Social Work

Programme : Master of Social Work

No. of Students feedback collected	10. of feachers 10. of Employer	No. of Alumni feedback collected	
8	2	1	-

Students Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The courses helped me to understand the programme well	3.6
2.	The courses learnt this year has continuity with the previous year	3.5
3.	reaching learning process helped me to learn easily	
4.	The time allotted for the courses matched my pace of learning	4
5.	I have acquired skills from the courses learnt during this year.	3.6
6.	Course plan of the subject and the classes held are in line.	and the second sec
7.	Depth of the course content & project work/practical/studio etc.	4
8.	Whether the teacher gets feedback before the commencement of the lecture?	3.5
	Average score of all criteria	3.68

Nil	Average Score (out of 4)
General Comments and Suggestions Special guest lecture can be arranged for Development Management more insight on current trends.	nt specialization to get

Teachers Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Scor (out of 4)
1.	The syllabus of the courses taught during this academic year is adequate for this programme.	3.5
2.	The students are able to follow the courses as per the course plan	4
3.	The lab/studio/project components are adequate for the programme	4 3.5
4.	Students are motivated and learn more topics than given in the syllabus.	4
5.	Class room environment and facilities are adequate enough for the syllabus.	4
6.	Lab/Studio and other facilities are adequate.	3.5
	Average score of all criteria	3.75
C riter i	a where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
Genera	Il Comments and Suggestions te the students to participate more on co-curricular and extracurricular	

Head, Department of Social Work Periyar Maniammai Institute of Science and Technol-Valiam, Thanjavur - 613 403.

Criteria	Average Scor (out of 4)
Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee	3.5
students industry ready	3.5
The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge	4
Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity	3.5
Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	3.5
Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	
Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	3.5
Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.	4 3.5
Average score of all criteria	3.6
ia where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)
	Rate the knowledge and skill of the employee The programme syllabus and structure is designed for making students industry ready The lab/studio/project components have helped the employee acquire adequate practical knowledge Ability to manage/leadership qualities/ Innovativeness, creativity Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates Open to new ideas and learning new techniques Rate your overall satisfaction with PMU students and the syllabus taught.

Training on Proposal writing and Fund raising techniques can be given.

Alumni Feedback on curricular aspects

S. No	Criteria	Average Score (out of 4)
1.	The programme was well organized and adequate enough to learn the required skill and knowledge	4
2.	The programme offered has syllabus which is contemporary	4
3.	Infrastructure & Lab facilities are adequate to cover the syllabus	3.3
4.	raculty are skilled and knowledgeable in the courses they taught	4
5.	Quality of books and support material available for the syllabus taught.	3
6.	Training & Placement is adequate.	1
7.	Canteen facilities	4
8.	Hostel facilities	3
9.	Overall Rating of the University	3
10.	Alumni Association / Network of old friends	3.3
	Average score of all criteria	3.56
Criteri	a where Scope For Improvements identified	Average Score (out of 4)

tions

Extend the students field work placement to government organizations and government projects

Head, Department of Social Work, Periyar Maniatr i Institute of Science and Technology, Maniatr i Institute of Science